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## Important Notice

This document has been prepared in February 2017 by Ciaran Kirrane acting in a personal capacity, on the invitation of ACCA. It has been prepared for information and education purposes only. The author has received no payment or commercial incentive of any kind as consideration of the preparation of this document. The document does not offer investment advice of any kind to any party. This document is incomplete without the author's accompanying verbal comments. Any person who relies on or uses this document for any purpose does so at their own risk.
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## Valuing a Loan

First of all, some concepts and terminology

- A Loan : an agreement to pay back an amount in full for a price (interest). Commonly referred to as a Credit or a bond.
- Value : market or fair value implying rational willing buyers and sellers. Consistent with fair value for financial reporting.
- Unquoted Loans : loans which have no observable market price or credit rating
- Curves and yields : as in yield curves - the market interest rates at different points in time. Corporate and Sovereign (Gov't) bond yields can be observed from yield curves of similar maturity.
- Spread: the yield above a benchmark interest rate such as that on Gov't bond.
- Maturity : term of the loan
- Par : the face value of a bond usually $100 \%$ at issue. Prices of bonds are quoted as a $\%$ of par. Bond prices and yields move in the opposite direction.
- Ratings : rankings of the quality of borrower entities and individual loans performed by rating agencies (S\&P, Moody's etc). AAA top of the table, BBB mid table, CCC in the relegation zone. This presentation focuses on BBB and below which is where many unquoted credits start life. Known as High Yield Loans.


## 1. Loan Markets

## Loan Markets

Introduction

## CAPITAL RAISED BY NORTH AMERICA-FOCUSED FUNDS (2008-15)



Source: PDI Research \&Analytics

## Loan Markets

 Introduction
## Private Debt in 2015 - Key Stats

## Highlights


\$18.8bn
Amount of capital raised
by Europe-focused direct lending funds closed in 2015.

Capital Expansion


## 46\%

Proportion of investors that plan to increase their private debt allocation in 2016.


## \$523bn <br> Total assets under

 management of the private debt industry as of June 2015, up from $\$ 483 \mathrm{bn}$ at the end of2014. 



## \$123bn

Total amount of capital being sought by private debt fund managers currently raising
vehicles.

Source : Prequin

## Loan Markets

## Segments

Private debt comprises Mezzanine and other forms of debt financing that comes mainly from institutional investors such as funds and insurance companies but not from banks.

Private debt instruments are generally illiquid and do not trade via organized markets.

- Senior Debt: first ranking secured loan used mainly to finance buyout transactions and growth funding. Returns generated mainly by interest payments.
- Mezzanine: intermediate funding between debt and equity used mainly for buyouts and growth funding and is often subordinate to bank debt. Returns generated from interest payments and equity kickers such as warrants
- Credit Opportunities: funds that invest in a wide variety of financing structures and situations. Funding of complex refinancings of companies cut off from capital markets for various reasons. Also specialize in secondary transactions
- Distressed Debt : funds which buy mostly senior secured loans in the secondary market at a discount to face value. Aim to acquire sound assets in situations which companies have run into financial difficulties.


## Loan Markets

Introduction

Private Debt Fund Managers by Primary Strategy


Source: Preqin Private Debt Online

## Loan Markets

## Segments

Four main motives why institutions invest in private debt:

- Attractive stable spreads: private debt and Mezzanine offer attractive spreads over sovereign debt, corporate bonds and high yield securities
- Low correlation with traditional assets classes
- Stable performance across market cycles
- Established and experienced asset managers


## Loan Markets

## Returns

| Returns from Equity and Debt Markets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1800-2010 |  |
|  | annual | 10 years |
| Equity Markets | 8.0\% | 216\% |
| Bonds | 4.8\% | 160\% |
| T Bills | 4.1\% | 149\% |
| Note: cummulative total returns <br> Source: Research, rating agencies, analyst estimates. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

- Ibbostson/Morningstar, Duff \& Phelps, Damodaran and others suggest long term (20+ years) US equity market risk premium of $5+\%$ and US Gov't bond yields of c4\%.


## Loan Markets

## Returns

High Yield returns

| US | $1995-\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Corporate | $180 \%$ |
| BB | $181 \%$ |
| B | $148 \%$ |
| CCC | $212 \%$ |
| Europe |  |
| Corporate | $153 \%$ |
| BB | $224 \%$ |
| B | $152 \%$ |
| CCC | $146 \%$ |



Note: cummulative total returns
Source: Research, rating agencies, analyst estimates.

## Loan Markets

## Returns

## Chart 1. As an Asset Class, High Yield Sits Midway on the Risk/Reward Spectrum between Fixed Income and Equity <br> 10-year historical data, as of 12/31/15



Source: Zephyr and Lord Abbett.
${ }^{1}$ BB High Yield as represented by the BofA Merrill Lynch BB U.S. High Yield Index. ${ }^{2}$ B High Yield as represented by the Bof A Merrill Lynch Single B U.S. High Yield Index. ${ }^{3}$ CCC High Yield as represented by the BofA Merrill Lynch CCC \& Lower U.S. High Yield Index.

## Loan Markets

## Default

## U.S. Speculative Grade Default Rate

——Defaut rate


Data as of Feb. 29, 2016. Source: Standard \& Poor's Global Fixed Income Research, Standard \& Poor's CreditPros.
© Standard \& Poor's 2016.

## Source: highyieldbond.com

## Loan Markets

## Default



Source: highyieldbond.com

## Loan Markets

## Cumulative Default

| Estimated Cumulative <br> Default |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\underline{5}$ years | $\underline{20}$ years |
| AAA | $<1 \%$ | $\mathrm{c} 2 \%$ |
| AA | $<1 \%$ | $\mathrm{c} 2.5 \%$ |
| A | $<1 \%$ | $\mathrm{c} 3 \%$ |
| BBB | $<2 \%$ | $\mathrm{c} 7 \%$ |
| BB | $\mathrm{c} 7 \%$ | $\mathrm{c} 20 \%$ |
| B | c20\% | $\mathrm{c} 30 \%$ |
| CCC | c45\% | c55\% |
| Source: Research, rating agencies, analyst estimates. |  |  |

## Loan Markets

## Default

S\&P Default (D)

- When S\&P believes that the borrower will fail to pay all or substantially all of its obligations as the become due.

S\&P Selective Default (SD) :

- A borrower has selectively defaulted on a specific loan or class of loans but will continue to meet obligations on other issues or classes in timely manner.
- Includes a distressed exchange where a loan is repurchased for cash or replaced by another loan for less than the par value of the previous loan.

Source: S\&P, 2015.

## Loan Markets

## Default

Moody's defines three types of credit events:

- A missed or delayed disbursement of interest and / or principal including delayed payments made within a grace period.
- Bankruptcy, administration, legal receivership or other legal blocs to the timely payment of interest and or principal.
- A distressed exchange occurs where:
> The issuer offers a new security that is a diminished financial obligation (such as preferred or common stock, or debt with a lower coupon or par amount, lower seniority or longer maturity; or
> The exchange had the purpose of helping the borrower avoid default

Source: Moody's.

## Loan Markets

## Recovery

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rating |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| First Lien | $+50 \%$ |
| Second Lien | $+45 \%$ |
| Senior Unsecured | $+30 \%$ |
| Senior Subordinated | $+25 \%$ |
| Subordinated | $+25 \%$ |
| Junior Subordinated | $<20 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Source: Research, rating agencies, analyst estimates. |  |

## Loan Markets

## Recovery

Default \& Recovery Rates for High-Yield Bond Defaults, 2014-2016 (5/23)

|  | Default Rate | Overall <br> Recovery <br> Rate | Energy/Mining <br> Recovery Rate | All Other <br> Recovery Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | $2.11 \%$ | 63.19 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 63.19 |
| 2015 | $2.83 \%$ | 33.91 | 25.64 | 46.78 |
| $2016(5 / 23)$ | $2.74 \%$ | 18.18 | 16.55 | 33.60 |
| Weighted Average Default Rate (1971-2015) | $3.44 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Arithmetic Average Recovery Rate (1978-2015) | 46.01 |  |  |  |

Source: NYU Stern

## 2. Loan Valuation Basics

## Valuing a Loan

## Basics:

- Approach : Loans are valued using a Discounted Cash Flow. The key is the discount rate or 'yield'




## > Discount rate 7.2\%

$\%$ of par $\quad 98.6 \%=$ Market Value or Fair Value of $€ 49.3 \mathrm{~m}$
$\%$ of par $\quad 101.4 .0 \%=$ Market Value or Fair Value of $€ 50.7 \mathrm{~m}$

## Valuing a Loan

## Basics: the Discount Rate - yields

- Yield to maturity (YTM)
> The rate of return, expressed as an annual \% rate, earned by investing in a bond and holding it to maturity.
> Also referred to as IRR or yield, it measures the return for bond investment risk: credit, liquidity, interest rate, default, among others
$>\quad$ It is the \% rate used to discount a bond's: (a) interest and : (b) principal repayment cash flows to present value.
> 3 sources of cash flow and yield: interest from coupon; capital gain on bond price at maturity; and reinvestment of coupons which is assumed to occur at the same yield but which is, in fact, unknown (reinvestment risk).
- Yield to call: same as YTM except that the call price at the call date goes in the cash flow in place of the principal repayment at maturity. Call is exercised by the investor if a bond is trading at a premium to the call price.
- Yield to put: exercised if a bond trades at a discount to the put price
- Yield to worst: yield to first call (there may be more than one call price and date). For option free bonds, YTM = YTW.


## Valuing a Loan

Basics: spreads above base yields

- Nominal: YTM less YTM of the benchmark e.g Treasury.
- Zero volatility spread: the spread that must be added to each point of the Treasury yield curve to make the present value of the bond cash flows = the market price. ( $Z$ spread $)$.
- Option Adjusted Spread - OAS: bonds with options have higher yields than option free bonds as they offer additional yield to pay for the call/put options. OAS removes the option yield component from the $Z$ spread and so OAS is the spread the bond would have if the option characteristics were removed. For a callable bond, $Z$ spread less OAS = cost of option. (OAS - Z spread for puttable bonds).


## Valuing a Loan

## Introducing PLC

- An energy sector company operating since early '90s. Excellent products, international customer base, and management team
- In excellent shape - sales:\$50-60m, EBITDA €20-25m
- Nearly $\$ 100 \mathrm{~m}$ of net tangible assets; debt of about $\$ 40 \mathrm{~m}$ (ie c2x leverage)
- Bought by a PE house for $\mathrm{c} 8 \mathrm{x}-9 \mathrm{x}$ EBITDA valuing $100 \%$ of the share capital of the company at c\$150m
- Buyer raised $\$ 100 \mathrm{~m}+$ of debt to support the acquisition (LBO)
- Following the buyout, PLC had total debt (senior and revolving) of $\$ 120 \mathrm{~m}$ and was projecting leverage of $>4 x$ for the year against a total leverage covenant of $+5 x$
- Debt facilities were priced at Libor $+4.5 \%-$ Libor $+5 \%$


## 3. Credit Quality

## Credit Quality

The first step in selecting the discount rate: assessing the credit quality or risk of the borrower

- Credit Risk: arises from the potential that a borrower will fail to perform an obligation (Federal Reserve)
- Credit risk is most simply defined as the potential that a bank borrower or counterparty will fail to meet its obligations in accordance with agreed terms (Bank for International Settlements).
- The four Cs of Credit Quality assessment:
- Character: The borrower's reputation or track record for repaying debts (credit history), as well as reputation of the Board and management executives.
- Capacity: measures a borrower's ability to repay a loan by comparing income against recurring debts and assessing the borrower's solvency by looking at Debt/Equity Ratio and interest and debt service cover ratios
- Collateral: The quality and value of the collateral pledged and unpledged


## - Covenants:

$>$ Negative covenants:

- Restrictions on asset sales
- Negative pledge of collateral
- Restrictions on additional borrowings
> Affirmative covenants:
- Maintenance of certain financial ratios (e.g. Total Debt/ EBITDA)
- Timely payment of interest and principal


## Credit Rating



## Credit Quality

| PLC | Weight | Sub factor | Sub weight | PLC Data | Score | Weighted score | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Scale | 20\% | EBITDA | 10\% | \$25-100m | 18 | 1.8 | Caa |
|  |  | Assets | 10\% | < \$0.5bn | 20 | 2 | Ca |
| 2. Business profile | 25\% |  | 25\% | Global competitor; diversified, new assets; little EBITDA concentration risk; long track record of operational expertise; modest EBITDA volatility driven by industry cycles | 9 | 2.25 | Baa - Ba |
| 3. Profitability \& efficiency | 20\% | EBIT Margin | 10\% | 26\% | 9 | 0.9 | Baa |
|  |  | EBIT/Assets | 10\% | 26\% | 3 | 0.3 | Aa |
| 4. Leverage \& Coverage | 20\% | EBITDA / Interest | 10\% | 4.7x | 12 | 1.2 | Ba |
|  |  | Debt /EBITDA | 10\% | $4.1 x$ | 12 | 1.2 | Ba |
| 5. Financial Policy | 15\% |  | 15\% | Expected to have financial policies that balance the interest of creditors and shareholders; some risk that debt funded acquisitions or shareholder distributions could lead to a weaker credit profile | 9 | 1.35 | Baa - Ba |

Overall: Ba 1 to Ba 2 or BB+ to BB

Upper end of noninvestment grade.

## Credit Quality

From the point of view of a valuer, getting good quality information is challenging:

1. Terms of the loan and use of proceeds and any change of either since issuance
2. Covenants of the loan and any change
3. Evidence of covenant compliance (leverage and interest cover)
4. Historic, current and projected financial performance of the assets and cash flow of the borrower
$>$ Assumptions underlying the projections
> Projected leverage and interest cover
> Liquidity
> Value of the collateral
5. Credit monitoring and credit quality assessment process

## 4. The Discount Rate

# Yields and Spreads : Estimating the Discount Rate 

US Yield and Spread Benchmarks

## US Treasury Yields

10 Year Treasuries since 2012


[^0]
## US Treasury Yields

## 20 Year Treasuries since 2012



[^1]
## US Treasury Yields

## 30 Year Treasuries since 2012


https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/BAMLHOA2HYBEY, February 4, 2017.

## US Treasury Yields

## 10 Year Treasuries since 2000


https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/BAMLH0A2HYBEY, February 4, 2017.

## US Corporate Spreads

## Corporate AAA



BofA Merrill Lynch, BofA Merrill Lynch US Corporate AAA Option-Adjusted Spread© [BAMLCOA4CBBB], retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis; https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/BAMLC0A4CBBB, February 4, 2017.

## US Corporate Spreads

## Corporate BBB



BofA Merrill Lynch, BofA Merrill Lynch US Corporate BBB Option-Adjusted Spread© [BAMLCOA4CBBB], retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis; https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/BAMLC0A4CBBB, February 4, 2017.

## US Corporate Spreads

## Corporate BB

ERED. - BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield BB Option-Adjusted Spread©


BofA Merrill Lynch, BofA Merrill Lynch US Corporate BB Option-Adjusted Spread© [BAMLC0A4CBBB], retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis; https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/BAMLC0A4CBBB, February 4, 2017.

## US Corporate Spreads

## Corporate B



BofA Merrill Lynch, BofA Merrill Lynch US Corporate B Option-Adjusted Spread© [BAMLCOA4CBBB], retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis; https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/BAMLC0A4CBBB, February 4, 2017.

## US Corporate Spreads

## Corporate CCC



BofA Merrill Lynch, BofA Merrill Lynch US Corporate CCC Option-Adjusted Spread© [BAMLCOA4CBBB], retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis; https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/BAMLC0A4CBBB, February 4, 2017.

## US Corporate Spreads

Corporate HY vs IG OAS


## US Yields and Spreads

## Conclusions by rating

| US Treasury <br> Average Yield |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 10 year | $2.0 \%$ |
| 20 year | $2.7 \%$ |
| 30 year | $3.0 \%$ |


| US Corporate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Credit Quality | Long Term <br> Average OAS | Estimated <br> Yield |
| BBB | $2.00 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| BB | $3.50 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| B | $5.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| CCC | $10.40 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| HY average | $6.30 \%$ | $9.30 \%$ |


| US Average long term spreads and yields by Rating <br> OAS <br> Credit Quality <br> Spread | Cumulative <br> Spread | Yield |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| US 30 Year T Bill |  |  | $3.0 \%$ |
| AAA | $0.69 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| BBB | $+1.34 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| BB | $+1.48 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| B | $+1.63 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| CCC | $+5.25 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |

## US Yields and Spreads

## Conclusions by maturity



Source: FIB

# Estimating the Discount Rate 

European Yields and Spread Benchmarks

## European Sovereign Yields

## ECB 10 year



Average :
www.ecb.europa.eu/stats

## European Sovereign Yields

## ECB 20 year



Average :
1.95\%

Jan 2017 : 1.0\%
www.ecb.europa.eu/stats

## European Sovereign Yields

## ECB 30 year



Average :
www.ecb.europa.eu/stats

## European Sovereign Yields <br> Germany 10 year since 2000

FRED. — Long-Term Government Bond Yields: 10-year: Main (Including Benchmark) for Germany©


[^2]myf.red/g/cB5j
Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Long-Term Government Bond Yields: 10-year: Main (Including Benchmark) for Germany© [IRLTLT01DEM156N],
Retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis; https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/IRLTLT01DEM156N, February 3, 2017.

## European Corporate Yields

INDEX PROFILE

| Description | \# of issues | Par Amount* | Market Value* | Market Weight (\%) | Average Coupon (\%) | Average Life (Years) | Yield to Maturity (\%) | Effective Duration | OAS (bps) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| European High-Yield Market Index | 680 | 359.22 | 372.42 | 100.00 | 5.26 | 5.75 | 4.11 | 3.54 | 405 |
| EUR | 541 | 301.55 | 310.50 | 83.37 | 4.91 | 5.77 | 3.85 | 3.48 | 395 |
| GBP | 122 | 53.21 | 57.40 | 15.41 | 7.32 | 5.69 | 5.62 | 3.86 | 462 |
| CHF | 17 | 4.46 | 4.51 | 1.21 | 3.70 | 4.97 | 2.94 | 3.37 | 372 |
| Cash Pay | 639 | 340.60 | 358.88 | 96.37 | 5.32 | 5.27 | 4.10 | 3.49 | 405 |
| Deferred Interest | 41 | 18.62 | 13.53 | 3.63 | 4.05 | 14.57 | 4.33 | 4.77 | 404 |
| 1-3 years | 169 | 95.73 | 102.05 | 27.40 | 5.75 | 2.08 | 3.30 | 1.78 | 374 |
| 3-5 years | 216 | 105.11 | 110.04 | 29.55 | 5.50 | 3.99 | 4.48 | 2.68 | 434 |
| 5-7 years | 165 | 87.71 | 93.13 | 25.01 | 5.18 | 5.91 | 4.29 | 3.73 | 402 |
| 7-10 years | 81 | 48.68 | 50.48 | 13.56 | 4.36 | 8.11 | 4.09 | 6.57 | 390 |
| 10+ years | 49 | 21.99 | 16.70 | 4.48 | 4.22 | 24.28 | 5.66 | 9.66 | 464 |
| Industrial | 419 | 204.37 | 213.52 | 57.33 | 5.10 | 5.26 | 4.14 | 3.58 | 401 |
| Utility | 84 | 58.26 | 62.26 | 16.72 | 5.18 | 5.02 | 3.66 | 3.50 | 363 |
| Finance | 177 | 96.59 | 96.63 | 25.95 | 5.64 | 7.24 | 4.34 | 3.47 | 440 |

*In EUR billions

Citi European High Yield Market Index, 31 December 2016

## European Corporate Yields




Citi European High Yield Market Index, 31 December 2016

## European Corporate Spreads




## European Yields and Spreads

## Conclusions

| EU Sovereign |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| ECB 10 year | Long Term <br> Average <br> Yield |
| ECB 20 year | $1.3 \%$ |
| ECB 30 year | $2.0 \%$ |
| Germany 10 Year | $2.0 \%$ |


|  | EU Corporate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Credit Quality | Long Term <br> Average Spread | Estimated <br> Yield | US <br> Yield |
| BBB | $2.00 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| BB | $3.20 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| B | $4.07 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| CCC | $7.20 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| HY Average | $4.82 \%$ | $8.12 \%$ | $9.30 \%$ |

## Yields and Spreads <br> £ Libor

## £ Libor since 2005



Source : FIB

## Yields and Spreads

US \$ Libor

US\$ Libor since 2005


Source : FIB

## Yields and Spreads

5 Year £ Swaps


Source: FIB

## 5. Examples

## A Snapshot from the Experts

From 2015 10k of large quoted private equity company KKR
$>$ Credit Investments: Credit investments are valued using values obtained from dealers or market makers, where these values are not available, credit investments are valued by us based on ranges of values determined by an independent valuation firm. Valuation models are based on discounted cash flow analyses, for which the key inputs are determined based on market comparables, which incorporate similar instruments from similar issuers.
$>$ Valuation Process : Level II and Level III investments were valued using internal models with significant unobservable inputs
> Credit Investments Fair Value: $\$ 5$ billion

| Method | Unobservable <br> Input | Weighted <br> Average | Range | Impact from an <br> Increase of Input |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yield Analysis | Yield | $9.40 \%$ | $5.5 \%-30.3 \%$ | Decrease |
| Net Leverage |  | $4.6 x$ | $0.5-18.3 x$ | Decrease |
| EBITDA Multiple |  | $6.4 x$ | $0.4 x-25.4 x$ | Increase |

## Loan Value

## Example 1: A Stable B Loan

| \$ millions | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Latest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | 170 | 230 | 350 | 400 | 570 | - US\$200m, 5 years |
| EBITDA | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 65 |  |
| Capex | 3 | 4 | 11 | 14 | 36 | First lien |
| Interest Expense | 5 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |
| Free cash before tax and WC | 13 | 18 | 20 | 25 | 18 |  |
| Capital Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash |  |  |  |  | 7 | - LBO funding |
| Revolving loan facility |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| First Lien term loan |  |  |  |  | 200 | - Key financial stats set out opposite |
| Senior Debt |  |  |  |  | 250 |  |
| Second lien term loan |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Total debt |  |  |  |  | 250 |  |
| Market Capitalisation |  |  |  |  | 225 |  |
| Total capitalisation |  |  |  |  | 475 |  |
| Leverage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senior Leverage |  |  |  |  | 3.9x |  |
| Total Leverage |  |  |  |  | 3.9x |  |
| Total net Leverage |  |  |  |  | 3.8x |  |
| Total Enterprise Value |  |  |  |  | 7.3 x |  |

## Loan Value

## Example 1

- Comparable Valuation Benchmarks
- Enterprise value and Leverage from quoted companies and from completed M\&A Deals

| \$ millions Comparable Transaction | Date | EBITDA | EV | EV/EBITDA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transaction 1 | May-15 | 250 | 1900 | 7.6x |  |  |
| Transaction 2 | Mar-15 | 70 | 400 | 5.7x |  |  |
| Transaction 3 | Jan-15 | 55 | 575 | 10.5x |  |  |
| Transaction 4 | Aug-14 | 70 | 625 | 8.9 x |  |  |
| Transaction 5 | Nov-13 | 70 | 560 | 8.0x |  |  |
| Comparable Quoted Companies |  | EBITDA | Debt | EV | EV/EBITDA | Leverage |
| Comp 1 |  | 1100 | 130 | 6500 | 5.9x | 0.1x |
| Comp 2 |  | 180 | 200 | 1100 | 6.1x | 1.1x |
| Comp 3 |  | 190 | 0 | 1920 | 10.1x | 0.0x |
| Comp 4 |  | 120 | 0 | 750 | 6.3 x | 0.0x |
| Comp 5 |  | 20 | 14 | 950 | 47.5x | 0.7x |
| Comp 6 |  | 230 | 100 | 1700 | $7.4 x$ | 0.4x |
| Comp 7 |  | 460 | 80 | 4200 | 9.1 x | 0.2x |
| Comp 8 |  | 270 | 870 | 2500 | 9.3 x | 3.2 x |
| Comp 9 |  | 240 | 110 | 1300 | 5.4 x | 0.5x |
| Example 1 |  | 65 | 260 | 475 | 7.3 x | 4.0x |

## Loan Value

## Example 1 :

- Concluded $5.9 \%$ yield considered:
> Yields on the debt of comparable maturity and terms
> Comparable security
> Comparable leverage
> Recent announcements of borrower;

| Public Loan Comps | Coupon | Maturity | Price | Yield | Amount | Net Leverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 1st Lien | L + 450, 1.00\% floor | Mar-20 | 97.9 | 6.30\% | 400 | 2.4 x |
| B 1st Lien | L + 450, $0.75 \% \mathrm{fl}$ | Aug-22 | 95.8 | 6.00\% | 1800 | 2.4 x |
| C 1st Lien | L + 325, 1.00\% fl | Mar-22 | 99.9 | 4.20\% | 4500 | 3.8x |
| D 1st Lien | L + 525, 1.00\% fl | Oct-21 | 98.0 | 5.70\% | 1400 | 4.2 x |
| E 1st Lien | L + 400, 1.00\% fl | Oct-21 | 98.0 | 5.40\% | 500 | 4.8x |
| F 1st Lien | L + 400, 1.00\% fl | Oct-22 | 99.5 | 5.00\% | 200 | 4.3x |
| G 1st Lien | L + 325, 1.00\% fl | Apr-19 | 88.5 | 9.00\% | 300 | 4.4 x |
| Average |  |  |  | 5.94\% | 1300 | 3.8x |
| Example 1 | L + 450, 1.00\% fl | Jun-21 | 7.75 | 5.87\% | 250 | 4.0x |

## Loan Value

## Example 2 : BB Loan under pressure?

|  | Senior loan $€ 75 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $>6$ year bullet |
|  | $>$ Call provisions (NC1 / 102 / 101) |
|  | $>$ Other ‘Cov lite’ terms |

PIK note $€ 25 \mathrm{~m}$
$>6.5$ year bullet

Fund an LBO
Company acquired at 5x EBITDA
$>$ Peers trading at c $9-10 x$ EBITDA

## Loan Value

## Example 2 <br> Projections

| € millions | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | 110 | 100 | 85 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 90 |
| EBITDA | 60 | 60 | 45 | 35 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 41 |
| Capex | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Operating Free Cash | 26 | 24 | 17 | 7 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Cash interest expense |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Cash Flow for Debt Service |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Capital Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash |  |  | 5 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 |
| Revolving loan facility |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior term Loan |  |  | 75 | 75 | 72 | 68 | 64 | 60 |
| Total Senior Debt |  |  | 75 | 75 | 72 | 68 | 64 | 60 |
| Net senior debt |  |  | 70 | 69 | 62 | 53 | 39 | 30 |
| PIK Note |  |  | 25 | 30 | 35 | 43 | 50 | 60 |
| Total Debt |  |  | 100 | 105 | 107 | 111 | 114 | 120 |
| Total net debt |  |  | 95 | 99 | 97 | 96 | 89 | 90 |

## Loan Value

## Example 2

| Issuer | Type | Currency | Coupon/Margin | Rating | Maturity | YTM Leverage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A Snr Unsec | fixed | Eur | 7.25\% | B / B3 | May-22 | 7.00\% | 5.7x |
| A Snr Unsec | fixed | Eur | 7.25\% | B / B3 | May-22 | 7.00\% | 5.7x |
| B 1st Lien Snr Sec | floating | US\$ | $\mathrm{L}+3.75 \%, 0.75 \% \mathrm{fl}$ | $\mathrm{B}+$ / 1 | May-20 | 5.00\% | 3.9x |
| B 1st Lien Snr Sec | floating | Eur | $\mathrm{L}+3.75 \%, 0.75 \% \mathrm{fl}$ | / B1 | May-20 | 5.00\% | 3.9x |
| B 1st Lien | fixed | US\$ | 6.00\% | $B+/ B 1$ | May-22 | 6.00\% | 3.9x |
| B 1st Lien | fixed | Eur | 5.38\% | $B+/ B 1$ | May-22 | 4.50\% | 3.9x |
| C 1st Lien | fixed | Eur | 6.25\% | B+ / B1 | Aug-22 | 5.00\% | 3.8x |
| D 1st Lien | fixed | US\$ | 5.50\% | BB- / Ba3 | Jan-23 | 4.50\% | 3.9x |
| E Snr Unsec | fixed | US\$ | 4.88\% | B / B2 | Feb-22 | 7.50\% | 4.8x |
| F Snr Unsec | fixed | Eur | 7.13\% | B / B2 | May-24 | 5.00\% | 5.4x |
| G 1st Lien Snr Sec | floating | Eur | $\mathrm{E}+3.00 \%, 0.75 \% \mathrm{fl}$ | BB- / Ba3 | Jan-22 | 4.00\% | 4.1x |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  | 5.50\% | 4.5x |
| Example 2 |  |  | L+7.00\%, 1.00\% fl |  | Jul-22 |  | 2.8x |

[^3]
## Loan Value

## Example 2

| Leverage and coverage | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 7 | Year 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net Senior debt/EBITDA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net total debt / EBITDA | $1.6 x$ | $2.0 x$ | $1.6 x$ | $1.3 x$ | $1.0 x$ | $0.7 x$ |
| EBITDA / Cash interest | $2.1 x$ | $2.8 x$ | $2.4 x$ | $2.4 x$ | $2.2 x$ | $2.2 x$ |



## Loan Value

## Example 2

- Valuer's Approach

| Rating | BBB | BB | B | CCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt/EBITDA | 2.2x | 3.2 x | 5.6x | 9.6x |
| Example 2 | 3.4x |  |  |  |
| Funds from Operations / Debt | 0.3x | 0.2x | 0.1x | 0.0x |
| Example 2 | 0.2x |  |  |  |
| Interest cover | 9.10x | 5.20x | 2.60x | 1.10x |
| Example 2 | 2.7x |  |  |  |
| Free Cash Flow / Debt | 30.8\% | 18.0\% | 7.9\% | 0.7\% |
| Example 2 | 20.0\% |  |  |  |



- Valuer concluded a discount rate of $9.5 \%$ based on BB to B. Premium of $c .2 \%$ because of the structure of the Ioan.

Discount rate for the PIK at 20\% (FITAJ basis)

## Loan Value

## Example 2

- Senior valued at $89 \%$ of par

| Senior Loan | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| €m |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |  |
| Libor $+7 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Interest | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0.3 |
| Amortisation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal repayment |  |  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Cash flow |  |  |  |  | 6 | 75.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate | $9.50 \%$ | 67 |  |  |  |  |
| PV of Cash flows | $89 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ of par |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- PIK at $91 \%$ of par

| PIK Loan | Year 1 | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Year 6.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| €m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance | 25 | 29 | 35 | 42 | 50 | 60 | 69 |  |
| PIK interest |  | $15 \%+$ | $15 \%+$ | $15 \%+$ | $15 \%+$ | $15 \%+$ | $15 \%+$ | $15 \%+$ |
| Interest |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amortisation |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal repayment |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74.5 |
| Cash flow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate | $20 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PV of Cash flows | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of par | $91 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Loan Value

## Example 3 : PLC

| Senior secured US\$100m+ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\quad>7$ year bullet |
| $\quad>$ Libor $+4.5 \%$ at leverage of $3 x$ or greater, declining to $L+4.0 \%$ at $3 x$ or lower |
| $\quad>$ LBO funding |
| $\quad>$ Projected performance as of late year 1 of the loan |


| \$ millions | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 | Debt / EBITDA v Covenant |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At mid 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.0x |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue | 66 | 70 | 75 | 76 | 80 | 82 | 5.0x |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA | 25 | 27 | 30 | 33 | 35 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capex | 18 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 4.0x |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working capital requir | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.0x |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre tax cash flow | -1 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 24 | 27 | 2.0x |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net senior debt | 100 | 100 | 95 | 80 | 70 | 55 | 1.0x |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covenant |  | 5.0x | 4.5 x | 3.7 x | $3.7 x$ | 3.7 x | 0.0x | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |

## Loan Value <br> PLC

- PLC Year 1 loan pricing benchmarks

| Company |  | Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLC | 1LSrSec | Floating | E + 4.5\% | 2021 | n/a | n/a | 99.00 | 5.10\% | n/a | 4.4x |
| A | 1LSrSec | Floating | E + 4.5\% | 2019 | B | B2 | 100.50 | 4.6\% | n/a | 4.0x |
| B | Sr unsecured | Fixed | 8.5\% | 2018 | B | B2 | 103.25 | 7.3\% | 632 | 4.9x |
| C | Sr unsecured | Fixed | 10.8\% | 2019 |  | Caa1 | 100.75 | 10.5\% | 875 | $6.4 x$ |
| D | Sr unsecured | Fixed | 7.8\% | 2022 | B+ | B2 | 109.13 | 5.7\% | 469 | 1.7x |
| E | Sr unsecured | Fixed | 7.0\% | 2019 | B | B3 | 104.75 | 5.5\% | 372 | 6.5x |
| F | Sr unsecured | Fixed | 3.3\% | 2021 | A | A2 | 103.95 | 2.6\% | 7 | 3.9x |
| G | Sr unsecured | Fixed | 7.0\% | 2022 | B+ | B3 | 109.00 | 4.9\% | 386 | 2.4 x |
| H | Sr unsecured | Fixed | 6.8\% | 2019 | B | B2 | 104.34 | 5.4\% | 360 | 3.7x |
| 1 | Sr unsecured | Fixed | 4.2\% | 2021 | AA- |  | 109.43 | 2.5\% | -1 | 3.6x |
| J | Sr unsecured | Fixed | 10.3\% | 2016 | B- | B3 | 104.00 | 8.9\% | 713 | 9.2x |
| K | Sr unsecured | Fixed | 7.4\% | 2016 | BB- | B2 | 109.25 | 4.0\% | 343 | 3.3x |
|  | Average |  | 7.3\% |  |  |  |  | 5.6\% | 415.6 | 4.5x |

## Loan Value

## PLC changing its name to CCC by year 3

| \$ millions | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA | 66 | 57 | 37 | 33 | 39 | 47 |
| Net debt | 25 | 23 | 17 | 14 | 16 | 20 |
| Net senior debt |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |
| Net senior debt / EBITDA |  |  | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| Covenant reset |  |  | 6.5 x | 7.9 x | 6.9 x | 5.5 x |



- Concluded rating of B and a yield of $8.5 \%$ by end Q3 of year 3. (BB and 6.5\%-7.2\% during year 2 and H 1 year 3).
> $990 \%$ of par in Q3 of year 3
- Sector multiples improved towards $10 x$ in year 3, but not for PLC. Covenant waiver was granted.
- With total debt of c\$155m, EV was estimated at $70 \%$ of debt.
- Rating moved to CCC and a yield of c13\%
- $70-75 \%$ of par by end of year 3 .


## Loan Value

## Example 4 : Default and Restructuring

- Borrower raised $\$ 150 \mathrm{~m}$ from investors through a 5 year, $12 \%$ senior secured bond. Used to acquire and was secured on a ship active in the installation, maintenance and repair of offshore oil \& gas platforms and pipelines.
- Borrower got caught up in a payment scandal and lost it key customer. The DPP of the country in which the ship operated got involved and embargoed the company from performing contracts with the ship.
- Unsurprisingly, an EoD was declared by the Trustee to the bondholders. Ship was arrested by the bondholders, moved to a friendly location, dry-docked, cleaned up, re-registered and put back out to work elsewhere.
- EoD penalties kicked in. Issuer could redeem at $108 \%$ of par + PV of remaining interest payments to maturity + an additional PIK rate of $5 \%$ (i.e., $17 \%$ in total). Penalties $=$ max value of up to $2 x$ the original bond.

Restructuring by distressed debt investors:
$>$ Original senior unsecured bonds of c\$40m at PIK 17\% over 5 years. Provide the right to pursue the borrower;
$>$ New senior secured c\$230m at PIK 12\% over 6 years secured on the ship; and
$>$ Additional unsecured bonds of $\$ 30 \mathrm{~m}$ at PIK $15 \%$ over 6 years - funding for vessel operations.
Distressed investors agreed to underwrite the cost of a legal claim to recover the value of the original bond and penalties.

## Loan Value

## Example 4 :

- The value of the restructured bonds depends mainly on realising the value of the ship + the outcome of the litigation claim and the cost of the legal proceedings.

The valuer used applied the DCF approach to a number of scenarios and assessed the probability of each outcome:

| Scenario | Outcome | Date Probability | Discount <br> rate |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Scenario 1 | Case dismissed, vessel sold | Sep-17 | $40 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Scenario 2 | Case allowed, claim settled at 50\%, vessel sold | Mar-18 | $15 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Scenario 3 | Case allowed, claim settled at 75\%, vessel sold | Sep-18 | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Scenario 4 | Case allowed, claim settled at 100\%, vessel sold | May-19 | $15 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Scenario 5 | Case allowed, claim proceeds to trial, <br> bondholders win and are awarded a multiple of <br> damages, vessel sold | May-19 | $0 \%$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]
## Loan Value

## Example 5 : Recovery post Default

- A1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ lien senior secured loan and a $2^{\text {nd }}$ lien convertible loan that were in default.

The loans were originally issued to fund the operations of a small mining company. Operations had ceased and were pending recommencement. The company owned many millions of tonnes of proven mineral resources (mostly ore but also including copper, lead, zinc among others).

Senior loan secured on the mineral reserves.
Loans acquired by a private equity firm, at $60 \%$ of par through a restructuring transaction.
Valuation approaches test the recovery value of the collateral:
$>$ 1. DCF assuming a business plan is executed to develop the reserves over 15 years: key assumptions are commodity prices and the discount rate of $20 \%$. Comparable company share prices trade at an adjusted multiple of NAV of $0.4 x$. This applied to the DCF value indicates $\mathrm{c} 60 \%$ of par.
> 2. Comparable transactions: over 50 transactions analysed to estimate a low \$ per tonne of reserves. This indicated nearly $50 \%$ of par.
> 3. Market value of PP\&E: an engineering appraisal firm estimated a market value for the property plant and equipment of the mine on the secondary market. $43 \%$ of par.

- Method 2 was offers the most unbiased estimate of value as it uses distressed value per tonne at which the reserves can be sold quickly.


## Loan Value

## Example 6 : A Perpetual Loan

- A perpetual loan with a principal amount of c $€ 200 \mathrm{~m}$ equivalent issued in the mid 1990s in a number of individual tranches, all with identical terms.
- Fixed rate $6.8 \%$. All interest on the loan between year 16 and 99 had been prepaid and there was zero interest remaining to be paid as of late 2015.
- Unsecured and subordinated to all other debt of the borrower, a leading international consumer goods group. The borrower agreed to a negative pledge regarding large department stores located throughout Europe.
- The company is a leading name with sales of $€$ billions and very high margins of EBITDA. Leverage ratios well in excess of $25 x$.
- A credit quality assessment was performed:
> By a leading investment bank, which provided an informal or shadow rating of A1 (in a twoline email!);
> By a valuer using the online ratings program available from Moody's (RiskCalc)
$>$ And by the borrower itself by its in-house treasury team using a BoE approach (i.ie, along the lines presented earlier in this document but using the S\&P framework)


## Loan Value

## Example 6 : A Perpetual Loan

- One cash flow: the par value of the bond payable in the early 2100s!

The discount rate was constructed as follows:
$>$ An underlying long term (i.e. 60 year) swap rate of $1.88 \%$ based on data from a leading capital markets information provider. The swap curve is assumed to be flat beyond 30years. Data from the ECB showed the 30 year yield at $1.82 \%$ indicating the extended maturity warranted an additional spread of 6bps.
> A spread above the swap rate in view of the unsecured nature of the bonds:

1. Review of 350 corporate unsecured credits of long maturity to analyze the spread above the comparable reference benchmark swap of each individual credit. Linear regression analysis was used to understand the relationship between spread and maturity. This indicated that the longest maturity bond (30 years) of the data set should have a spread of $1.26 \%$ above the swap rate.
2. An additional 70 basis points was added to adjust for longer maturity to the early 2100s based on the analysis of long dated spreads of corporate issuers (eg Ford Motor company and Northfolk Southern).
> Additional spread of $1.7 \%$ for the subordinated feature of the bonds. This is based on the spread for subordinated bonds (compared to their senior counterparts) observed among the universe of 350 corporates.
> A liquidity spread of $15-30$ basis points based on using a Black Scholes model, volatility from the index of bond exchange traded fund, a 3-5 year life, and ECB risk free rates.

## Summary and Conclusions

- Private debt markets will continue to be attractive to investors because of their relative riskadjusted returns. Potential refinancing needs are enormous over the next $3-5$ years.
- There is a vast amount of data on issuers and loans.
- The most efficient way to look corporate debt market data is by credit rating and within credit rating, by maturity.
- The real purpose of this is to assess the risk of a loan falling down through the ratings.
- The basic valuation approach is DCF using an appropriate yield.
- To select the appropriate yield use "comparable instruments from comparable issuers": comparable credit rating, comparable maturity, comparable size and comparable industry.
- Within that, side-by-side analysis of issuer yield, leverage and valuation multiples are the tools of the trade.
- Absent such detailed comparable data, market averages from public information sources can provide reliable cross-checks. But caution when applying broad index yields to specific loans.
- 'Covenant lite', covenant resets, covenant holidays and waivers, accompanied by such things as 'equity cures' and similar aggressive structures are more accepted and point to weaker credit quality.


## Appendices

## Appendix

Quality Spreads - the view from your friendly investment banker

US

- Average US\$ BBB to BB: +150 to 175 bps
- US\$ BB to B: +170 to 190bps
- US\$ B to CCC : +550 to 650 bps

EU

- Euro € BBB to BB: +250 to 290 bps
- Euro € BB to B : + 220 to 250 bps
- Euro $€$ B to CCC: +770 to 825 bps !


## Appendix

## Comparison of Ratings

| Moody's |  | S\&P |  | Fitch |  | DBRS |  | rating description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Longterm | Short term | Longterm | Shortterm | Longterm | Shortterm | Longterm | Shortterm |  |
| Aaa | P-1 | AAA | A-1+ | AAA | F1+ | AAA | R-1H | Prime |
| Aa1 |  | AA+ |  | AA+ |  | $A A(h i g h)$ |  | High grade |
| Aa2 |  | AA |  | AA |  | AA | R-1M |  |
| Aa3 |  | AA- |  | AA- |  | AA(low) | R-1M |  |
| A1 |  | A+ | A-1 | A+ | F1 | A(high) | R-1L | Upper medium grade |
| A2 |  | A |  | A |  | A |  |  |
| A3 | P-2 | A- | A-2 | A- | F2 | A(low) |  |  |
| Baa1 |  | BBB+ |  | BBB+ |  | BBB(high) | R-2H | Lower medium grade |
| Baa2 | P-3 | BBB | A-3 | BBB | F3 | BBB | R-2M |  |
| Baa3 |  | BBB- |  | BBB- |  | BBB(low) | $\begin{gathered} \text { R-2L, R- } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Ba1 | Not prime | BB+ | B | BB+ | B | BB (high) | R-4 | Non-investment grade speculative |
| Ba2 |  | BB |  | BB |  | BB |  |  |
| Ba3 |  | BB- |  | BB- |  | BB(low) |  |  |
| B1 |  | B+ |  | B+ |  | B (high) |  | Highly speculative |
| B2 |  | B |  | B |  | B | R-5 |  |
| B3 |  | B- |  | B- |  | B(low) |  |  |
| Caa1 |  | CCC+ | C |  | C | CCC(high) |  |  |
| Caa2 |  | CCC |  |  |  | CCC |  | Substantial risks |
| Caa3 |  | CCC- |  |  |  | CCC(low) |  |  |
| Ca |  |  |  |  |  | CC(high) |  | Extremely speculative |
|  |  | CC |  | CCC |  | CC |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC(low) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C(high) |  |  |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C(low) |  |  |
| C |  | D | 1 | DDD | / | D | D | In default |
|  |  |  |  | DD |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix

## What Should a Loan Valuation Report Contain?

- The purpose of the valuation
- Description of the loan terms
- Background to the loan: purpose
- Analysis of the historic and projected financial performance and condition of the borrower
- Analysis of credit quality of the borrower
- Concluded credit rating of the borrower
- Valuation of the collateral for the loan
- Enterprise and equity value of the company
- Appraised value of real estate / property (eg commercial or real estate property, land)
- Appraised value of property, plant and equipment / reserves (extractive industries)
- Appraised value of IP (technology company)

Basis for selected discount rate or yield on the loan

- Similar market comparables
- Yield curves
- Swap rates
- Libor/Euribor rates
- Presentation of the cash flows and calculation of their present value
- Value ranges
- Selection of the concluded valuation
- Cross checks to market and other norms
- Sensitivity analysis
- Appendix : supporting analysis and workings


## Appendix

## Basics: Duration and Convexity

- Duration and convexity describe the rate sensitivity of a bond or bond portfolio.
- Duration : the ratio of the percentage change in price to change in yield.
$>$ For an $8 \%, 20$-year option-free bond, a $1 \%$ decrease in the YTM will increase the price to 110.67 , a $10.67 \%$ increase in price. A $1 \%$ increase in YTM will cause the bond value to decrease to 90.79 , a 9.22\% decrease in value.
$>$ E.g. a $1 \%$ change in yield produces an approximate change in the price of this bond of $9.42 \%$. You would therefore say duration is 9.42 .
- Convexity. The price of an option-free bond increases more when yields fall than it decreases when yields rise.
$>$ For a given volatility of yields, price increases are larger than price decreases positive convexity.
- When the price begins to rise at a decreasing rate in response to further decreases in yield, the priceyield exhibits negative convexity.


## Appendix

## Possible sources of Information, Insights and Guidance

- The websites of Moody's and S\&P (especially S\&P's Leverage Commentary \& Data or LCD)
- Bloomberg and Thompson Reuters
- S\&P Capital IQ
- The websites of valuation appraisal firms such as Duff \& Phelps, Houlihan Lokey and accounting firms and bodies
- Website of the Chartered Financial Analyst Institute
- Website of Aswath Damodaran and NYU Stern
- Citi and Bank of America High Yield Market Indices
- Websites of investment banking firms, private equity firms and asset management companies
- Federal Reserve Bank (particularly the St Louis Fed)
- European Central Bank, OECD, BIS and IMF websites
- Investing.com, trading economics.com. Ft.com, wsj.com and economist.com
- Prequin.com, yieldbook.com, highyieldbond.com

Markit iTraxx, Mergermarket
JP Morgan Indices for emerging market bond yields and spreads (eg Central Bank of Argentina for LatAm)
ciaran.cirrane@gmail.com


[^0]:    https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/BAMLH0A2HYBEY, February 3, 2017.

[^1]:    https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/BAMLH0A2HYBEY, February 4, 2017.

[^2]:    Source: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
    fred.stlouisfed.org

[^3]:    - Senior priced at $\mathrm{L}+7 \%$ with a $1 \%$ floor. Estimated leverage of 2.8 x
    - PIK priced $15 \%+$

[^4]:    - The recovery cash flows under each scenario were estimated:
    > The result of litigation claim times the claim settlement percentage; less
    > Legal costs and success fee (c 25\%!); plus
    $>$ The ship value (estimated by independent ship valuers) to give gross recovery proceeds; multiplied by
    > The probability;
    > All brought to present value at the discount rate; and finally
    $>$ Multiplied by the \% by which the investor agreed to underwrite the litigation costs.
    - The present value of each scenario was summed to produce a weighted average total recovery value at c80\%.

